

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

71 2955	
EXAMINER	
MCCLELLAN, JAMES S	
JNIT PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/784,368	COOK, FRED S.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	James S McClellan	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	<u>ovember 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the company of the foreign language pro 14).	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) at sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  r in an Application Data Sheet.  eeived.  and/or 121 since a specific		
Attachment(s)	_			
1)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3627

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Amendment and Request for Reconsideration

1. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on 11/18/03, wherein: claims 1-22 are pending.

It is noted that the specification has been amended. All claims remain as originally filed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 10-17, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,000,608 (Dorf).

Regarding **claim 1**, Dorf discloses a method of registering a product using a code processing system (see column 3, lines 9-27), the method comprising: in a point of sale system (POS 105; see column 4, line 28), obtaining a communication code that is associated with the product and transmitting the communication code; and in the code processing system (Processing Hub 103; see column 4, line 22), receiving the communication code (see column 3, lines 12-13), processing the communication code to determine manufacturer information for the product (see column 7, lines 7-10), generating a product registration request (see column 7, lines 11-13), transmitting the product registration request to a manufacturer registration system based on the manufacturer information for registration of the product (see column 7, lines 11-13), generating a

Art Unit: 3627

communication code activation request responsive to receiving the communication code, and transmitting the communication code activation request and the communication code to a communication code activation system for activation of the communication code (see column 7, lines 21-28); [claim 2] the communication code comprises a phone card code (see column 4, line 21); [claim 3] the communication code comprises a pre-paid phone card code (see column 4, lin3 21); [claim 4] the product is packaged with a pre-paid phone card that includes the pre-paid phone card code (see column 4, line 21); [claim 5] in the code processing system, receiving a charge authorization request and a financial card number from the point of sale system, transmitting the charge authorization request and the financial card number to a financial network, receiving an authorization response from the financial network, and transmitting the authorization response to the point of sale system (POS 105 inherently includes credit card processing/authorization features through bank 102); [claim 6] the financial network comprises a credit card system (POS 105 inherently includes credit card processing/authorization features through bank 102); [claim 10] the code processing system comprises a database that includes the manufacturer information (see column 7, lines 3-5); [claim 11] the code processing system communicates with the point of sale system, the manufacturer registration system, and the communication code activation system over a Public Switched Telephone Network (see column 6, lines 61-63).

Regarding **claims 12-22**, the Examiner relies on the detailed description set forth above for similar claims 1-11 to reject claims 12-22 in order not to burden the Office Action with a redundant claim mapping analysis.

Art Unit: 3627

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 7-9, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5. Dorf in view of US Patent Publication No. US 2001/0025245 A1 (Flickinger et al.).

Regarding claims 7-9 and 18-20, Dorf disclose each of the limitations as set forth above, but fails to explicitly disclose generating the product registration request comprises generating a warranty activation request to activate a warranty on the product with a manufacturer; in the code processing system, receiving a warranty activation response from the manufacturer registration system identifying if the warranty is activated; and in the point of sale system, receiving the warranty activation response from the code processing system and printing a warranty activation certificate based on the warranty activation response.

Flickinger et al. teaches the use of generating the product registration request comprises generating a warranty activation request to activate a warranty on the product with a manufacturer; in the code processing system, receiving a warranty activation response from the manufacturer registration system identifying if the warranty is activated; and in the point of sale system, receiving the warranty activation response from the code processing system and printing a warranty activation certificate based on the warranty activation response (see paragraph 0038 on page 4, "A registration verification or certificate (electronic or otherwise) could be provided to the purchaser confirming registration, warranty and other information").

Art Unit: 3627

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dorf with the warranty registration as taught by Flickinger et al., because automatic warranty registration increases the likelihood of product registration (see Flickinger et al., paragraph 0006 on page 1), whereby helping ensure that the consumer receives full warranty benefits and allowing the manufacturer to more efficiently gather marketing data.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 7, Applicant traverses the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-6, 10-17, 21, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as anticipated by Dorf. Applicant alleges that Dorf fails to disclose registering a product with a manufacturer as required by claim 12. More specifically, on page 8, Applicant argues that Dorf does not provide for both activation of the phone card with the issuer and registering the product (associated with the phone card) with the manufacturer of the product, as described in claim 12. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In column 10, lines 48-64, Dorf discloses that the multifunction card may be used on the Internet by registering the card via a POS terminal. In addition, Dorf's system utilizes a multifunction card that allows phone card activation, electronic gift card registering, loyalty card tracking, and medical information gathering. Each electronic gift certificate includes an identification number and a means for activating and storing information related to the electronic gift certificate. The information is stored in a database (205) maintained at the processing hub (see paragraph bridging columns 7-8). *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*, tenth edition defines register as "to make or

Art Unit: 3627

secure official entry in a register". Dorfs system makes and secures official entries in an EGC database (205) during the steps of activation, monitoring, and recharging of the electronic gift certificate.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Art Unit: 3627

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872/9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm November 18, 2003